ZONING RECLASSIFICATION APPLICATION	
Harford County Board of Appeals	
Bel Air, Maryland 21014	//

Case No. 182
Date Filed <u>6/2/15</u>
Hearing Date
Pre-Conf.
Receipt
Fee \$ \$00.00

Shaded Area For Office Use Only

- Note
- 1. It is required that the applicant have a pre-filing conference with the Department of Planning and Zoning to determine the necessary additional information that will be required.
- 2. The burden of proof in any rezoning case shall be upon the Petitioner.
- 3. Any application in a zoning case and any amendment thereto shall contain specific allegations setting forth the basis for granting of the request.
- 4. Petition must contain names and addresses of all persons having legal or equitable interest in the property, including shareholders owning more than five percent (5%) of the stock in a corporation having any interest in the property, except those corporations listed and traded on a recognized stock exchange.
- 5. Application will be reviewed for completeness within ten (10) working days of submittal. Applicant will be notified by mail of completeness of application.

Petitioner

Name	Box Hi	11 South Comm	ercial, LLC		Phone Nun	nber	Call A	Attorney	7
Address_	2700 P	hiladelphia R	oad, Edgewoo	od, MD	21040				
	Street Number	Street						State	Zip Code
Property	Owner				Phone Nu	mber			
Address									
	Street Number	Street						State	Zip Code
Contract	Purchaser				Phone Nu	mber			
Address									
-	Street Number	Street			W. 1940			State	Zip Code
Attorney	/Representative	Kevin J. Ma	honey		Phone Nu	mber	410-89	3-7500	
Address_	Snee, Mahon	ey, Lutche &	Helmlinger,	P.A. 1	1 So. Main	Stree	t, Bel	Air, MD	21014
	Street Number	Street						State	Zip Code

Land Description

Address and Loc	ation of Property	y (with nearest intersectin	g road) 3309 Emmorton	Road, Abing	don, MD 21009
			* #		
Subdivision	0000	Lot Number_	Acreage/Lot Size	2.95 ac Ele	ection District 01
Existing Zoning_	R2	Proposed Zoning	B+3 Acreage	to be Rezoned	2.95 acres
Tax Map No	0061	Grid No0001F	Parcel 0359	Deed Refe	erence_11033/139_
		N/A		gnation <u>Med</u>	ium Intensity
Present Use and	ALL improveme	ents:Vacant			
Proposed Use (I	f for subdivision	development proposed	number of lots, type of c	wellings and t	wne of development
					•
Example: Conve	ntional, Convent	ional with Open Space, P	lanned Residential Develo	pment) Commer	<u>cial/Retail/Ser</u> vi
***************************************				****	
Is the property de	esignated a histo	ric site, or does the prope	erty contain any designated	l or registered h	nistoric structures?
No If ye	es, describe:				
•					
Estimated Time I	Dequested to Dec	esent Coses 2 1	1. 1 /26 6: -	4 001	
Esimiated Time I	Requested to Pre	sent case: 2 hours (c	ombined w/Map 61, Pa	ircels 386,	387 and 652)

Required Information To Be Attached

(Submit three (3) copies of each):

- (a) The names and addresses of all persons, organizations, corporations, or groups owning land, any part of which lies within five hundred (500) feet of the property proposed to be reclassified as shown on the current assessment records of the State Department of Assessments and Taxation.
 - (b) A statement of the grounds for the application including:
 - (1) A statement as to whether there is an allegation of mistake as to the existing zoning, and if so, the nature of the mistake and facts relied upon to support this allegation.
 - (2) A statement as to whether there is an

allegation of substantial change in the character of the neighborhood, and if so, a precise description of such alleged substantial change.

- (c) A statement as to whether, in the applicant's opinion, the proposed classification is in conformance with the Master Plan and the reasons for the opinion.
- (d) A Concept Plan shall be submitted by the applicant at the time the application is filed. The Concept Plan shall illustrate the following:
 - (1) Location of site.
 - (2) Proposed nature and distribution of land uses, not including engineering drawings.
 - (3) Neighborhood (as defined by the Applicant).

Reclassification of property identified as Map 61 Parcel 359 from R2 to B-3.

JUSTIFICATION

In order to justify a piecemeal rezoning, the Applicant is obligated to prove

that there was a change in the character of the neighborhood of the subject property since

the last Comprehensive Zoning. Alternatively, the Applicant may prove that there was a

mistake made in the prior Comprehensive Zoning which would justify the rezoning to the

requested classification.

The neighborhood of the subject property, for zoning purposes is attached

hereto. There has been a substantial change in the character of the neighborhood since the

2009 Comprehensive Zoning. The area to the south and east of the property that is the

subject of this application is shown on the Master Land Use Plan as

"Industrial/Employment." This area was originally conceived as a business park with a

hotel. Subsequently, Wegmans grocery store anchored the commercial development,

which, although permitted in the CI zone, was not contemplated in the original plan which

was considered by the County Council in 2009. Furthermore, an Integrated Community

Shopping Center (ICSC) approval was granted for the surrounding property in 2012.

Likewise, the Council was not aware of the future ICSC approval at the time of the zoning

of the subject property. Lastly, an apartment complex has been approved behind the

existing YMCA by virtue of mixed use approvals which were not allowed by Harford

1

3:5/7/15

13:6325

306169

County until December of 2008. The application for the apartment complex occurred in 2013, after the 2009 Comprehensive Zoning.

All of these changes solidified the reality that the Route 924 corridor in the vicinity of the subject property is a high intensity, commercial area. Clearly, these changes justify the rezoning of the subject property to B-3.

It was likewise, a mistake for the County Council to zone parcel 359 R-2 in the 2009 Comprehensive Zoning. The property owners sought rezoning in both the 2005 Comprehensive Zoning (which was ultimately vetoed by the County Executive) and in the subsequent 2009 Comprehensive Zoning. The original plan for the Box Hill area was for a business park. The actual development which has occurred is more in line with high intensity retail and commercial. The Master Land Use Plan designation for the subject property was changed in 2012 from "High Intensity" to "Medium Intensity". High Intensity was the designation assigned to the area in the last Comprehensive Zoning. Clearly, the zoning and uses of the property in the area are high intensity rather than medium intensity. What the County has done has been to subsequently change the land use plan designation to fit the zoning designations rather than adjusting the zoning designations to fit the appropriate land use designation. R-2 zoning is inconsistent with the "High Intensity" Land Use Plan designation of the property in 2009.

3:5/7/15 13:6325 306169

The subject property is less than five (5) acres in size. Virtually all viable R-2 uses would require a minimum five (5) acre parcel. Therefore, the R-2 zoning of the property effectively eliminates most, if not all, viable uses. As such, the R-2 zoning of this property is a mistake.

The entire strip of property between Box Hill South Parkway and the Giant grocery store are inconsistently zoned with the surrounding zoning and development of the 924 corridor. Commercial zoning is consistent with the existing development pattern in the area. Conversely, development of this property for likely R-2 uses would result in a land use pattern highly inconsistent with the surrounding development. The department's rationale for not supporting the commercial request in 2005 was that the rezoning would "create an extension of strip commercial". In fact, this is exactly what the Master Land Use Plan description at the time contemplated. There are simply no viable residential uses available for these property which would have been consistent within the 2009 High Intensity designation. Conversely, the west side of Route 924 contains several smaller residential uses which would be ideal for RO zoning.

Having established the requisite change and mistake, the appropriate zoning for the subject property is B-3, a designation which is consistent with the surrounding zoning and the Master Land Use Plan and will allow for development on the subject property for their highest and best uses.

- (4) All surrounding zoning.
- (5) Proposed public or private capital improvements.
- (e) Previous individual rezonings and recommendation since the effective date of the Comprehensive Rezoning, within the neighborhood of the petitioned area, their case numbers, dates, and decisions.
- (f) Environmental features map indicating woods, fields, streams, floodplains, non-tidal wetlands, etc.
- (g) Property deed and a boundary survey prepared and sealed by a registered surveyor, including dimension of area requested to be rezoned if only a portion of the property.
- (h) Private restrictions or covenants, if any, applicable to subject parcel.
- (i) Any agreements with individuals or associations in the neighborhood related to the proposed zoning shall be submitted.
- (j) Availability of public water and sewer.

Additional Information as Required by the Department of Planning and Zoning

- (a) Existing and proposed libraries, parks, schools, fire and police departments.
- (b) Demonstration of compatibility of the proposed use with existing and proposed development for the area.
- (c) Traffic impact study.
- (d) Economic and Environmental impact studies.
- (e) Estimated population for existing and proposed petitioned area and neighborhood, as defined.
- (f) Soils analysis.
- (g) Aerial photograph.

CASE 182 MAP 61 TYPE Rezoning
ELECTION DISTRICT 01
LOCATION 3309 Emmorton Road, Abingdon 21009
BY Box Hill South Commercial, LLC, 2700 Philadelphia Road,
Edgewood 21040
Appealed because a rezoning pursuant to Section 267-12A
of the Harford County Code to rezone 2.95 acres from a R2
District to a B3 District requires approval by the Board.

I/We do solemnly declare and affirm under the j correct to the best of my/our knowledge, inform	penalties of per	jury that the contents of the aforegief.	oing affidavit are true and
Box Hill South Commercial, L. By: Signature of Applicant/Owner	,	Witness	E/1/15
Signulare of Application of the Signular of th	Dute	vi uness	[*] Date
Signature of Contract Purchaser/Owner	Date	Witness	Date
Signature of Attorney/Representative	lo~1c10 Date	Mitness Witness	(U5 Date
Director of Planning and Zoning	6 - 4 - 15 Date	Zoning Staff	Date

